

Concentration profiles for the Trudinger-Moser functional are shaped like toy pyramids*

David Costa

Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Nevada Las Vegas
Las Vegas, NV 89154-4020
USA
costa@unlv.nevada.edu

Cyril Tintarev[†]

Department of Mathematics
Uppsala University
P.O.Box 480
SE-751 06 Uppsala, Sweden
tintarev@math.uu.se

Abstract

This paper answers the conjecture of Adimurthi and Struwe [5], that the semilinear Trudinger-Moser functional

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Omega} (e^{4\pi u^2} - 1) dx, \quad (0.1)$$

(as well as functionals with more general critical nonlinearities) satisfies the Palais-Smale condition at all levels except $\frac{n}{2}$, $n \in N$. In this paper we construct critical sequences at any level $c > \frac{1}{2}$ corresponding to a large family of distinct concentration profiles, indexed by all closed subsets C of $(0, 1)$, that arise in the two-dimensional case instead of the “standard bubble” in higher dimensions. The paper uses the notion of concentration of [3, 6] developed in the spirit of Solimini [17] and of [18].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 35J20, 35J35, 35J60; Secondary 46E35, 47J30, 58E05.

Keywords. Trudinger-Moser inequality, elliptic problems in two dimensions, concentration compactness, global compactness, profile decomposition, weak convergence, blowups, Palais-Smale sequences.

*Research supported by Swedish Research Council and Wenner-Gren Foundations.

[†]Research done in part at Centre for Applicable Mathematics of TIFR

1 Introduction

Quasilinear elliptic problems in the Sobolev space $W^{1,p}$ with $p = N$ in dimension N are, in many respects, different from the case of Sobolev spaces with $N > p$. The counterpart of Sobolev imbeddings in this case is the Trudinger-Moser inequality (Yudovich, Peetre, Pohozaev, Trudinger and Moser [23, 14, 15, 22, 13]). Analysis of problems involving the corresponding nonlinearity $e^{b|u|^{N'}}$, where $N' = \frac{N}{N-1}$, $b > 0$, often finds no counterpart in properties of the critical Sobolev nonlinearity $|u|^{\frac{pN}{N-p}}$ when $N > p$.

This paper deals with properties of critical sequences for semilinear elliptic problem associated with the Trudinger-Moser functional in dimension 2. Adimurthi and Struwe proposed in [5] that every Palais-Smale sequence for the semilinear functional (0.1) (and more generally, for similar functionals with nonlinearity of critical growth in the sense of Adimurthi ([1]), has a convergent subsequence except at the levels $J = \frac{n}{2}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Bounded critical sequences divergent at these levels were constructed by Adimurthi and Prashanth [4]. Similarly to the higher dimensions case with the critical nonlinearity (that lacks weak continuity), Palais-Smale sequences may diverge due to concentration phenomena. In the higher-dimensional case, critical sequences for semilinear elliptic problems with critical nonlinearities are structured as finite sums of linear blowups of a solution of the asymptotic equation. The latter is, in many cases, the unique (up to dilations and translations) positive solution of $-\Delta u = u^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, known as *standard bubble*, *instanton* or *(Bliss-)Talenti solution*. Analogous blowup analysis of solution sequences for $N = 2$ yields a counterpart of the standard bubble, but for general critical sequences the pattern of concentration remained until recently unclear. Adimurthi-Struwe conjecture was motivated by the Adimurthi's proof of compactness of critical sequences below the level $1/2$ [1], existence of a concentrating Palais-Smale sequence at the level $1/2$ (and thus, by adding translated sequences with distinct concentration points, at the levels $n/2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$), due to Adimurthi and Prashanth [4], and the Druet's ([9]) analysis of blowup for sequences of exact solutions.

Linear blowups (rescalings) do not exhaust all relevant concentration in the Trudinger-Moser case, that is, no subtraction of blowup terms from a general sequence will yield a convergent remainder, as it is the case in the higher dimensions (Solimini [17], see also Gerard [10] and Jaffard [11]). In particular, the critical sequence u_k in the Trudinger-Moser setting, given by

Adimurthi and Prashanth [4] (Theorem A), which concentrates in the sense that $|\nabla u_k|^2$ converges weakly to the Dirac delta-function, shows no nontrivial weak limits under linear deflations. For the case of unit disk the sequence in [4] is $\lambda_k \mu_{t_k}(r)$, where the functions μ_t , $t > 0$, are the celebrated Moser functions (see [13])

$$\mu_t(r) = \frac{\min\{\log \frac{1}{t}, \log \frac{1}{r}\}}{\sqrt{2\pi \log \frac{1}{t}}}, \quad (1.1)$$

$t_k \rightarrow +0$, and $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$ is a particular sequence convergent to 1. Euclidean deflations of this sequence yield in the weak limit only constant functions on $\mathbb{R}^2$, which all represent the zero element of $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ in the gradient norm. (In fact, deflations of functions (1.1) are usually invoked to illustrate that $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is not a function space because its zero element spans 1).

Concentration (defined by emergence of a singular part in the weak* limit of the sequence of measures $|\nabla u_k|^2 dx$) cannot be reduced to linearly rescaled profiles in other applications as well. For example, in subelliptic problems on stratified nilpotent Lie groups concentration occurs via anisotropic blowups ([19]). It should be noted, however, that once one does not restrict the class of operators responsible for formation of profile to linear blowups, all concentration defined in terms of singular limits of Lagrangean densities can be expressed in terms of a profile decomposition ([18]).

In the radial Trudinger-Moser case [3], nonzero profiles occur under inhomogeneous blowups

$$\delta_s u(r) = s^{-1/2} u(r^s), \quad s > 0, u \in H_{0,r}^1(B) \quad (1.2)$$

(by B we denote an open unit disk, we will use the notation B_R for an open disk of radius R and also indicate its center if it is different from the origin). The operators δ_s , $s > 0$, form a unitary (multiplicative) group G acting on $H_{0,r}^1(B)$, and most remarkably, the set of Moser functions is invariant under G . It is shown in [3], following [18] and in the spirit of [17], that any bounded sequence in $H_{0,r}^1(B)$ has a subsequence convergent in $\exp L^2$ -norm (the Orlicz norm of the Trudinger-Moser functional) once one subtracts from it concentrating terms of the form $\delta_s w$. In particular, the Adimurthi-Prashanth sequence can be represented as an inhomogeneous blowup sequence of a Moser function, $\lambda_k \delta_{s_k} \mu_{1/e}$ with $s_k \rightarrow 0$.

In [6] the concentration analysis of [3] is extended to the non-radial case (the main results of [3] were later reproduced, with an independent

proof, by [7]). Remarkably, the inhomogeneous deflations $u(z) \mapsto n^{-1/2}u(z^n)$ produce radial concentration profiles even for nonradial sequences. The present paper is based on the profile decomposition of [6] and uses it to derive the appropriate asymptotic equations and the correspondent profiles. It shows that these profiles can indeed occur in critical sequences.

The results of this paper are as follows. We define the family of functions (“Moser-Carleson-Chang towers”) that appear as concentration profiles and present some of their properties in Section 2. In Section 3 we establish properties of all concentration profiles that may occur in critical sequences (Theorem 3.2). These profiles, denoted as μ_{C_+, C_-} , are radial functions equal to $\pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{r}}$ on closed sets $C_\pm \subset (0, 1)$, and are harmonic on $(0, 1) \setminus C$, $C = C_+ \cup C_-$. In particular, when $C_+ = \{t\}$, $C_- = \emptyset$, the function μ_{C_+, C_-} is the Moser function μ_t . In Section 4 we show that the Adimurthi-Struwe conjecture is false, namely, that for every level $c > \frac{1}{2}$ there is an infinite collection of closed sets $C \subset (0, 1)$ such that for every such set C , there is a critical sequence of the form $u_k = \delta_{1/s_k} \mu_C + \psi_k$, $s_k \rightarrow 0$, $\|\nabla \psi_k\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ with $J(u_k) \rightarrow c$. A comparable task would be elementary in the higher-dimensional case, where critical sequences remain critical under perturbations vanishing in the Sobolev norm, but this is not the case here (or in [4] as well). Given that the argument in the general case would overtask even a most patient and motivated reader, we focused here on existence of critical sequences with the concentration profile μ_{C_+, C_-} only in the cases when $C_- = \emptyset$ and C_+ is either a (possibly uncountable) set of measure zero (Theorem 5.1) or an interval (Theorem 5.2). The argument presented there, together with the case of a finite C in Theorem 4.3, contains all technical points needed for the general case. Each of these cases suffices for the negative answer to the Adimurthi-Struwe conjecture.

We conclude the paper with Theorem 6.1 that contains a general profile decomposition for critical sequences of the Trudinger-Moser functional.

2 Definitions and assumptions

Definition 2.1. (Moser-Carleson-Chang tower functions) *Let C_+, C_- be closed subsets of $(0, 1)$, such that $C = C_+ \cup C_- \neq \emptyset$, let $A = (0, 1) \setminus C$, and let $\mathcal{A} = \{(a_n, b_n)\}_n$ be an enumeration of all connected components of A starting with $a_1 = 0$. A continuous radial function $\mu_{C_+, C_-} \in H_0^1(B)$ is called*

a Moser-Carleson-Chang tower if

$$\mu_{C_+, C_-}(r) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{r}}, & r \in C_+, \\ -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{r}}, & r \in C_-, \\ A_n + B_n \log \frac{1}{r}, & r \in (a_n, b_n), A_n, B_n \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

If $C_- = \emptyset$, we will use the notation μ_C instead.

When the set C_+ consists of a single point $t \in (0, 1)$ and $C_- = \emptyset$, the function μ_C is the original Moser function (1.1). When $C \subset (0, 1)$ is a closed interval, a function of the form μ_C was found in the proof of existence of extremals for the Trudinger-Moser functional by Carleson and Chang, [8], p. 121, written in the variable $t = \log \frac{1}{r}$). Let us prove some elementary properties of Moser-Carleson-Chang towers.

Proposition 2.2. (i) *The coefficients A_n, B_n are defined uniquely by continuity at $a_n, b_n \in C$. In particular, if $C = C_+$,*

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\sqrt{\log \frac{1}{a_n}} \sqrt{\log \frac{1}{b_n}}}{\sqrt{\log \frac{1}{a_n}} + \sqrt{\log \frac{1}{b_n}}}, \\ B_n &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log \frac{1}{a_n}} + \sqrt{\log \frac{1}{b_n}}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

(when $n = 1$ the values in (2.2) are understood in the sense of the limits as $a_1 \rightarrow 0$, i.e. $A_1 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{b_1}}$ and $B_1 = 0$).

- (ii) *The function $\mu_{C_+, C_-}(r)$ has continuous derivative at every point of $(0, 1)$ except $\{a_n, b_n\}_{(a_n, b_n) \in \mathcal{A}}$.*
- (iii) *Let $\mathcal{A}'$ be the set of all intervals $(a, b) \in \mathcal{A}$ where μ_{C_+, C_-} does not change sign, and let $\mathcal{A}'' = \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}'$. Then*

$$\|\nabla \mu_{C_+, C_-}\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{4} \int_C \frac{dr}{r \log \frac{1}{r}} + \sum_{(a,b) \in \mathcal{A}'} \frac{\sqrt{\log \frac{1}{a}} - \sqrt{\log \frac{1}{b}}}{\sqrt{\log \frac{1}{a}} + \sqrt{\log \frac{1}{b}}} + \sum_{(a,b) \in \mathcal{A}''} \frac{\sqrt{\log \frac{1}{a}} + \sqrt{\log \frac{1}{b}}}{\sqrt{\log \frac{1}{a}} - \sqrt{\log \frac{1}{b}}}. \quad (2.3)$$

(iv) The number of zeroes of μ_{C_+, C_-} on $(0, 1)$ is less than the value of $\|\nabla\mu_{C_+, C_-}\|_2^2 - 1$.

(v) For any choice of C_-, C_+ , one has $\|\nabla\mu_{C_+, C_-}\|_2^2 \geq 1$ and the equality holds only if C consists of one point.

Proof. (i): Values (2.2) for $n \geq 2$ are the unique solutions of continuity conditions at a_n and b_n , $A_n + B_n \log \frac{1}{a_n} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{a_n}}$ and $A_n + B_n \log \frac{1}{b_n} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{b_n}}$. Since μ_{C_+, C_-} has a finite Sobolev norm, we have, necessarily, $B_1 = 0$, which yields $A_1 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{b_1}}$.

(ii): For the sake of simplicity we consider the case $C = C_+$, the general case is similar. If $(a_{n_k}, b_{n_k}) \subset \mathcal{A}$ and $a_{n_k} \rightarrow c$ for some c , then necessarily $b_{n_k} \rightarrow c$, from which, by elementary computation, follows $\mu'_C(c) = \lim \mu'_C(a_{n_k}) = \lim \mu'_C(b_{n_k}) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{r}}\right)'|_{r=c}$. Consequently, since μ_C by definition is smooth at all internal points of A and of C , the only points in $(0, 1)$ where μ'_C is discontinuous are the points a_n and b_n .

(iii) follows from the direct computation of the right hand side in

$$\|\nabla\mu_{C_+, C_-}\|_2^2 = 2\pi \int_{C_+, C_-} |\mu'_{C_+, C_-}(r)|^2 r dr + 2\pi \sum_n \int_{a_n}^{b_n} |\mu'_{C_+, C_-}(r)|^2 r dr.$$

(iv): The terms in (2.3) corresponding to the set $\mathcal{A}''$ are greater than 1. Furthermore, on the interval $(a, 1) \in \mathcal{A}$, one has necessarily $\mu_{C_+, C_-}(r) = \pm \frac{\log \frac{1}{r}}{\sqrt{2\pi \log \frac{1}{a}}}$ and the contribution of this interval to (2.3) is

$$\int_{r \in (a, 1)} |\nabla\mu_{C_+, C_-}|^2 = 1.$$

(v): By the last observation the sum in (2.3) is greater or than 1, and the equality occurs only if the sum consists only of this term, which corresponds to $\mathcal{A} = \{(0, a), (a, 1)\}$. $\square$

We consider in this paper critical nonlinearities following the definition from [1]. Without loss of generality we restrict the consideration to the factor b in the exponent equal to 4π , since the general case can be always recovered by replacing the variable u with a scalar multiple. Let $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ and $F(s) = \int_0^s f(t) dt$.

Definition 2.3. We say that a continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of the 4π -critical growth, if $f(s) = g(s)e^{4\pi s^2}$ and for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} g(s)e^{-\delta s^2} = 0.$$

We will study the functional

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Omega} F(u) dx, \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad (2.4)$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

We write $g(t) = \frac{1}{8\pi} h'(t) + h(t)t$ so that $\frac{1}{8\pi} f(t) = g(t)e^{4\pi t^2}$, and we will use the following assumptions:

(g0) $\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g'(t)}{g(t)t} = 0;$

(g1) There is a $T > 0$ such that $\inf_{t \geq T} g(t) > 0$ and $\sup_{t \leq -T} g(t) < 0;$

(g2) $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t)}{f(t)t} = 0.$

Remark 2.4. Examples of $g(t)$ can be found in [1]. In particular, $g(t) = t$ is a typical example.

3 Blow-up profiles

The profile decomposition in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ below is quoted from [6], Theorem 2.5 combined with Theorem 2.6. The notation z^j , $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $z \in \Omega$, is understood in the sense of the power of a complex number representing the point z . Without loss of generality we assume that $\Omega \subset B_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Functions are in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ are considered also as elements of $H_0^1(B)$, via extension by zero.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded domain and let u_k be a bounded sequence in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. There exist $j_k^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}$, with $j_k^{(1)} = 1$, and $z_k^{(n)} \in \bar{\Omega}$, with $z_k^{(1)} = 0$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z_k^{(n)} = z_n \in \bar{\Omega}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for a renumbered

subsequence,

$$w^{(n)}(|z|) = w\text{-}\lim \left(j_k^{(n)} \right)^{-1/2} u_k(z_k^{(n)} + z^{j_k^{(n)}}), \quad (3.1)$$

$$z_m \neq z_n \text{ or } |\log j_k^{(m)} - \log j_k^{(n)}| \rightarrow \infty \text{ whenever } n \neq m, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_B |\nabla w^{(n)}|^2 dx \leq \limsup \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^2 dx, \quad (3.3)$$

$$u_k - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} j_k^{(n)1/2} w^{(n)}(|z - z_n|^{1/j_k^{(n)}}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \exp L^2, \quad (3.4)$$

and the series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} j_k^{(n)1/2} w^{(n)}(|z - z_n|^{1/j_k^{(n)}})$ converges in $H_0^1(B)$ uniformly in k .

We use the fact that u_k is a critical sequence for (0.1) in order to make the expansion (3.4) more specific, namely, to verify that every asymptotic profile (3.1) is a Moser-Carleson-Chang tower and that the expansion (3.4) has finitely many terms. This is stated at the end of the paper as Theorem 6.1.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that the function f is of 4π -critical growth and satisfies (g0) and (g1). Let u_k be a critical sequence of (2.4). Then every concentration profile $w^{(n)}$, $n \geq 2$, given by (3.1), equals a function $\mu_{C_+^{(n)}, C_-^{(n)}}$ with some disjoint closed sets $C_+^{(n)}, C_-^{(n)} \subset (0, 1)$, as given by Definition 2.1.*

Proof. Let us derive first the equation satisfied by the limit (3.1). The index n is fixed for the rest of the proof, and will be omitted. Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B)$ be a radial function and let $\psi \in C^1(S^1)$. Evaluating $J'(u_k)$ in the direction $v_k(z) = j_k^{1/2} \varphi(r^{1/j_k}) \psi(\theta)$, written in the polar coordinates $z = z_k + r e^{i\theta}$, we get $\langle J'(u_k), v_k \rangle$ in the following form: and setting $r^{1/j_k} = \rho$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} w'(\rho) \varphi'(\rho) \psi(\theta) \rho d\rho d\theta - \\ & - \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} j_k^{3/2} \rho^{2j_k-2} \frac{1}{8\pi} f(u_k(\rho^{j_k}, \theta)) \varphi(\rho) \psi(\theta) \rho d\rho d\theta \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

This implies that

$$j_k^{3/2} \rho^{2j_k-2} \frac{1}{8\pi} f(u_k(\rho^{j_k}, \theta)) \rightharpoonup -\Delta w \text{ in } H^{-1}(B). \quad (3.6)$$

Recall that $\frac{1}{8\pi}f(s) = g(s)e^{4\pi s^2}$. It easily follows from (g0) and (g1) that

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log g(s)}{s^2} = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Note that $|w(r)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{r}}$ for all $r \in (0, 1]$. Indeed, if for some $a \in (0, 1]$ a converse inequality is true, then, for all k sufficiently large, $4\pi u_k(\rho^{j_k}, \theta)^2 - 2j_k \log \frac{1}{\rho}$ will be bounded away from zero when r is in some neighborhood of a and $\theta \in S^1$, and thus, taking into account (3.7), we have the left hand side in (3.6) uniformly convergent to ∞ on an interval. Taking a positive test function supported on an interval, we arrive at a contradiction, since $-\Delta w$ is a distribution.

Let $C_1 = \left\{ r \in (0, 1] : |w(r)| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{r}} \right\}$. Since w is continuous on $(0, 1]$, the set C_1 is relatively closed in $(0, 1]$. Since $w \in H_{0,r}^1(B)$ and $\sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{r}} \notin H_{0,r}^1(B)$, $C_1 \neq (0, 1]$. Thus the complement of C_1 in $(0, 1]$ is an at most countable union of open intervals of $\mathbb{R}^N$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an enumeration of all such intervals. If $(a, b) \in \mathcal{A}$, then $w(a) = \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{a}}$, $w(b) = \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{b}}$ and $|w(r)| < \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{r}}$ for $r \in (a, b)$. From (3.6) it follows that w is harmonic on (a, b) , and, as a radial function, has the form $A + B \log \frac{1}{r}$, $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$, and the values of A and B are uniquely defined by the values $w(a)$ and $w(b)$. Let $C = C_1 \setminus \{1\}$. It remains to show that w is constant in a neighborhood of zero and is harmonic in a neighborhood of 1. Assume first that $\mathcal{A}$ is infinite, and thus countable in this case. Then, by elementary calculations already mentioned in the proof of Proposition 2.2, we have that w satisfies (2.3), which in turn shows that the set $\mathcal{A}''$ of intervals in $\mathcal{A}$, where the function w changes sign, is finite. Setting $\sigma_n = 1$ when $a_n = 0$, $\sigma_n = +\infty$ when $b_n = 1$ and

$$\sigma_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\sqrt{\log \frac{1}{a_n}}}{\sqrt{\log \frac{1}{b_n}}} \text{ otherwise,}$$

we have

$$\|\nabla w\|_2^2 \geq \sum_{(a_n, b_n) \in \mathcal{A}'} \frac{\sigma_n - 1}{\sigma_n + 1}. \quad (3.8)$$

Note that the sequence σ_n is bounded, otherwise the sum above would have infinitely many terms greater than $1/2$, say $\sigma_n \leq M - 1$, $M > 0$. Then

from the relation above it is immediate that $\sigma_n \rightarrow 1$, and

$$\prod_n \sigma_n \leq C \prod_{(a_n, b_n) \in \mathcal{A}'} \sigma_n \leq C e^{\sum_n (\sigma_n - 1)} \leq C e^{M \sum_n \frac{\sigma_n - 1}{\sigma_n + 1}} \leq C e^{M \|\nabla w\|_2^2} = \hat{C} < \infty.$$

Let ν be any finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}$ such that $(a_n, b_n)_{n \in \nu}$ are ordered by n and none of a_n is zero. Then

$$\frac{\max_{n \in \nu} \sqrt{\log \frac{1}{a_n}}}{\min_{n \in \nu} \sqrt{\log \frac{1}{b_n}}} \leq \prod_{n \in \nu} \sigma_n \leq \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma_n \leq \hat{C},$$

from which one immediately concludes that there are no sequences $(a_{n_k}, b_{n_k}) \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $a_n > 0$ and $a_{n_k} \rightarrow 0$ or $b_{n_k} \rightarrow 1$.

If the set $\mathcal{A}$ is finite, this is obviously the case as well. Thus there exist an $\epsilon > 0$ such that the function w is harmonic on the whole interval $(0, \epsilon)$ resp. $(\epsilon, 1)$, unless it equals $\pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{r}}$ on this interval. The latter, however, cannot occur since this contradicts $w \in H_0^1(B)$. $\square$

4 Critical sequences: the case of finite C

In this and the next section we assume that Ω is the open unit disk B .

Lemma 4.1. *Let $0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n < 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $C_+ = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$, $C_- = \emptyset$. Then*

$$\begin{cases} \|\nabla \mu_C\|_2^2 = 1, & n = 1 \\ 1 < \|\nabla \mu_C\|_2^2 < n, & n = 2, 3, \dots \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, for any $t \in (1, n)$ there exist $a_1, \dots, a_n$, $0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n < 1$ such that $\|\nabla \mu_C\|_2^2 = t$.

Proof. It follows from (2.3) with $\mathcal{A} = \{(0, a_1), (a_1, a_2), \dots, (a_n, 1)\}$

$$\|\nabla \mu_C\|_2^2 = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\sigma_j - 1}{\sigma_j + 1}. \quad (4.1)$$

Note that $\sigma_n = +\infty$, $\sigma_0 = 1$, the first term in the sum equals zero, the last term equals 1, and all the intermediate terms have values in the interval

$(0, 1)$. This proves the first assertion of the lemma. To prove the second assertion, let the number γ satisfy the equality $\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} = \frac{t-1}{n-1}$ and note that $\gamma > 1$. Let $\sigma_2 = \dots = \sigma_{n-1} = \gamma$. Then, since $\sigma_n = +\infty$ and $\sigma_0 = 1$, we have $\sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\sigma_j-1}{\sigma_j+1} = t$ and, since $\gamma = \sigma_j = \sqrt{\frac{\log \frac{1}{a_j}}{\log \frac{1}{a_{j+1}}}}$, $j = 2, \dots, n$, we have $\alpha_j = \gamma^2 \alpha_{j+1}$, where $\alpha_j = \log \frac{1}{a_j}$, which recursively defines suitable $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$ once we arbitrarily set the value of $a_n \in (0, 1)$. $\square$

The following statement is a corollary of Lemma 2.1 from [4].

Lemma 4.2. *Let J be the functional (2.4) with the function f of critical growth. Let $w \in H_{0;r}^1(B)$, $v_k \rightarrow 0$ in $H_{0;r}^1(B)$ and $s_k \rightarrow \infty$. If*

$$\int_0^r s_k^{3/2} \frac{f(s_k^{1/2}(w(\rho) + v_k(\rho)))}{8\pi} \rho^{2s_k-2} \rho d\rho \rightarrow -rw'(r) = - \int_0^r \Delta w(\rho) \rho d\rho \text{ in } L^2(B), \quad (4.2)$$

then the sequence $u_k = \delta_{1/s_k}(w + v_k)$ is critical for the functional J .

Proof. From $v_k \rightarrow 0$ and (4.2) we have

$$\int_0^1 \left| \int_0^r \left(\Delta w(\rho) + s_k^{3/2} \frac{f(s_k^{1/2} w_k(\rho))}{8\pi} \rho^{2s_k-2} \right) \rho d\rho \right|^2 r dr \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.3)$$

which immediately implies

$$\int_0^1 \left| rw'_k(r) + \int_0^r s_k^{3/2} \frac{f(s_k^{1/2} w_k(\rho))}{8\pi} \rho^{2s_k-1} d\rho \right|^2 r^{2s_k-1} dr \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Rewriting (4.4) in variables $\tilde{r} = r^{s_k}$, $\tilde{\rho} = \rho^{s_k}$, we have

$$\int_0^1 \left| ru'_k(r) + \int_0^r \frac{f(u_k(\rho))}{8\pi} \rho d\rho \right|^2 r dr \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.5)$$

which is the condition of Lemma 2.1 in [4] that provides that u_k is a critical sequence. $\square$

Theorem 4.3. *Let $C_- = \emptyset$, $C_+ = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$, $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n < 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let J be the functional (2.4) with the function $f \in C^1$ of 4π -critical*

growth satisfying (g0), (g1) and (g2). Then there exist sequences $s_k \rightarrow \infty$, $v_k \in C_{0;r}^1(B)$, $\|v'_k\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$, such that the sequence $u_k = \delta_{1/s_k}(\mu_C + v_k)$ satisfies

$$J(u_k) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\|\mu_C\|_2^2, J'(u_k) \rightarrow 0 \in H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (4.6)$$

Furthermore, for every $c \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{n}{2})$ there exist points $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n < 1$ such that $\frac{1}{2}\|\mu_C\|_2^2 = c$.

Proof. Let us prove first that $J'(u_k) \rightarrow 0$. By Lemma 4.2, it suffices to find a radial vanishing sequence v_k such that $u_k = \delta_{1/s_k}(\mu_C + v_k)$ satisfies (4.2). Let us require first (4.2) with only weak convergence, namely,

$$I_k(\varphi) = \int_0^1 s_k^{3/2} \frac{f(s_k^{1/2}(\mu_C(r) + v_k(r)))}{8\pi} r^{2s_k-2} \varphi(r) r dr \rightarrow \int_0^1 \mu'_C(r) \varphi'(r) r dr \quad (4.7)$$

for every $\varphi \in C_{0;r}^\infty(B)$.

An elementary calculation of the right hand side gives

$$\int_0^1 \mu'_C(r) \varphi'(r) r dr = \sum_{j=1}^n q_j \varphi(a_j), \quad (4.8)$$

with some $q_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Specific values of q_i are not invoked in the subsequent argument, but in order that the reader will not feel empty-handed, we quote them nonetheless:

$$q_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\alpha_{j-1} - \alpha_{j+1}}{(\alpha_j + \alpha_{j+1})(\alpha_j + \alpha_{j-1})}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad (4.9)$$

which for $j = 1$ is to be understood in the sense of the limit as $\alpha_0 \rightarrow +\infty$, and where, as before, $\alpha_j = \sqrt{\log \frac{1}{a_j}}$.

In order to evaluate $I_k(\varphi)$, we change the integration variable to $t = \log \frac{1}{r}$:

$$I_k(\varphi) = \int_0^\infty e^{s_k(4\pi\mu_C(e^{-t})^2 - 2t + \psi_k(t))} \varphi(e^{-t}) dt, \quad (4.10)$$

where

$$\psi_k(t) = \frac{3 \log s_k}{2 s_k} + \frac{\log g(s_k^{1/2}(\mu_C(e^{-t}) + w_k(e^{-t})))}{s_k} + 8\pi\mu_C v_k + 4\pi v_k^2. \quad (4.11)$$

Evaluation of $4\pi\mu_C(e^{-t})^2 - 2t$ on the interval $t \in (\alpha_{j+1}^2, \alpha_j^2)$, corresponding to $r \in (a_j, a_{j+1})$ gives, after elementary computation and recalling the notation $\sigma_j = \frac{\alpha_j}{\alpha_{j+1}}$, the two following identities:

$$4\pi\mu_C(e^{-t})^2 - 2t = -2\frac{\sigma_j^2 - 1}{\sigma_j^2 + 1}(\alpha_j^2 - t) + 4\pi B_j^2(t - \alpha_j^2)^2, \quad t \in (\alpha_{j+1}^2, \alpha_j^2),$$

and (after replacing the index j with $j - 1$)

$$4\pi\mu_C(e^{-t})^2 - 2t = 2\frac{\sigma_{j-1}^2 - 1}{\sigma_{j-1}^2 + 1}(t - \alpha_j^2) + 4\pi B_{j-1}^2(t - \alpha_j^2)^2, \quad t \in (\alpha_j^2, \alpha_{j-1}^2).$$

Let $\gamma_j^- = \left(2\frac{\sigma_{j-1}^2 - 1}{\sigma_{j-1}^2 + 1}\right)^{-1}$ and $\gamma_j^+ = \left(2\frac{\sigma_j^2 - 1}{\sigma_j^2 + 1}\right)^{-1}$. For every $j = 1, \dots, n$, set

$$M_j = (\gamma_j^- + \gamma_j^+)q_j$$

and consider the equation

$$8\pi\mu_C(r)v_k(r) + 4\pi v_k(r)^2 + \frac{\log g(s_k^{1/2}(\mu_C + v_k))}{s_k} + \frac{\log s_k}{2s_k} = \frac{\log M_j}{s_k}, \quad r \in (0, 1). \quad (4.12)$$

Fix $\epsilon \in (0, \max\{a_1, 1 - a_n\})$. For all k large enough, by the implicit function theorem, using (g0) and the assumption that $g \in C^1$, we have a unique solution $v_k^{(j)}$ that converges to zero uniformly on $[\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]$. Note that from (4.12) follows that, with $\psi_k^{(j)}$ as in (4.11) with $v_k = v_k^{(j)}$,

$$e^{s_k \psi_k^{(j)}} = M_j s_k. \quad (4.13)$$

Differentiation of (4.12) with respect to r together with (g0) implies that $v_k^{(j)'} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on $[\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]$. Let $\tilde{\omega}_j \ni a_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ be disjoint subintervals of $(0, 1)$, $\omega_j \ni a_j$ be closed subintervals of $\tilde{\omega}_j$ and let $\{\chi_j\}_{j=1, \dots, n} \in C_0^\infty(0, 1)$ be supported in $\tilde{\omega}_j$ and equal 1 on ω_j . Define $v_k = \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_j v_k^{(j)}$. Then $v_k \rightarrow 0$ in $H_0^1(B)$. Furthermore, lengthly but elementary calculations show that v_k also satisfies (4.7). We give here only a sketch of the calculations, leaving details to the reader. The principal term in the exponent of (4.10) equals, thanks to (4.13),

$$s_k(4\pi\mu_C(e^{-t})^2 - 2t) = \begin{cases} -\frac{s_k}{\gamma_j^+}(t - \alpha_j^2) + O(|\alpha_j^2 - t|^2), & t \geq \alpha_j^2, \\ -\frac{s_k}{\gamma_j^-}(\alpha_j^2 - t) + O(|\alpha_j^2 - t|^2), & t \leq \alpha_j^2, \end{cases}$$

which tends to $-\infty$ uniformly outside of arbitrarily small neighborhoods of α_j . This assures that all the nonzero contributions to the limit come from small neighborhoods of points α_j^2 (corresponding to a_j). The exact value of the exponent in a neighborhood of α_j^2 is set by (4.12), so that the elementary integration in the variable $\tau = s_k(t - \alpha_j^2)$ over $-\infty < \tau < 0$ and over $0 < \tau < \infty$ gives in the limit a multiple of $\varphi(r - a_j)$, which matches coefficient (4.9) by the choice of the parameter M_j above.

Then (4.2) is immediate since the sequence in (4.7) converges uniformly to zero outside of the points a_j and thus (4.2) holds true, and the integration is reduced to neighborhoods of a_j , where the argument above can be repeated with only trivial modifications. This completes the proof for $J'(u_k) \rightarrow 0$.

From (g2) it follows immediately that $\int F(u_k) \rightarrow 0$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} J(u_k) &= \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_k\|_2^2 + o(1) = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \delta_{s_k} \mu_C\|_2^2 + o(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \mu_C\|_2^2 + o(1). \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Then the last assertion of the theorem follows from Lemma 4.1. $\square$

Remark 4.4. 1. Note that since our nonhomogeneous dilations are isometric operators on the Sobolev space, $u_k - g_{s_k} \mu_{C_n} \rightarrow 0$ in $H_0^1(B)$.

2. The case $n = 1$ of Theorem 4.3, corresponding to $c = \frac{1}{2}$, is proved in [4]. An elegantly balanced calculation in [4] allows to pick up the sequence v_k not merely vanishing in Sobolev norm, but having an explicit form $\lambda_k \mu_{a_1}$ with $\lambda_k \rightarrow 0$.

5 Critical sequences: infinite C

Theorem 5.1. Let J be the functional (2.4) with the 4π -critical growth function f satisfying (g0), (g1) and (g2). If $C_0 = \emptyset$ and C_+ is a compact subset of $(0, 1)$ of measure zero, then there exists a sequence $s_k \rightarrow +\infty$, a sequence $\psi_k \in H_{0;r}^1(B)$ such that $\|\nabla \psi_k\| \rightarrow 0$, $J'(\delta_{s_k} \mu_C + \psi_k) \rightarrow 0$ and $J(\delta_{s_k} \mu_C + \psi_k) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \mu_C\|_2^2$.

Proof. 1. Let $\mathcal{A}_\epsilon$ be a collection of all maximal intervals contained in $(0, 1) \setminus C$, such that that $|A_\epsilon| \geq 1 - \epsilon$, where $A_\epsilon = \bigcup_{(a,b) \in \mathcal{A}_\epsilon} (a, b)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ is small enough that both intervals with 0 or with 1 as an endpoint are included in

$\mathcal{A}_\epsilon$. Let $B_\epsilon = (0, 1) \setminus \bar{A}_\epsilon$ and let $\mathcal{B}_\epsilon$ be the collection of maximal intervals contained in B_ϵ . Define a finite set $C_\epsilon = \partial A_\epsilon$ in $(0, 1)$. Then, invoking notations from the proof of Theorem 3.2, using (4.1) and noting that (4.1) also remains valid as a positive series for countable collections of intervals, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla(\mu_C - \mu_{C_\epsilon})\|_2^2 &\leq 2\pi \int_{B_\epsilon} 2(|\nabla \mu_C|^2 + |\nabla \mu_{C_\epsilon}|^2) r dr \\
&\leq \sum_{\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}_\epsilon} (\sigma_i - 1) + \sum_{\mathcal{B}_\epsilon} (\sigma_i - 1) \leq 2 \sum_{\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}_\epsilon} (\sigma_i - 1) \\
&\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\log \frac{1}{\sup C}}} \sum_{\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}_\epsilon} \left(\sqrt{\log \frac{1}{a_i}} - \sqrt{\log \frac{1}{b_i}} \right) := \kappa_\epsilon.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Note now that, since $|A \setminus A_\epsilon| \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, the measure of the image of $A \setminus A_\epsilon \subset [\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]$ under the map $r \mapsto \sqrt{\log \frac{1}{r}}$ vanishes as well and thus $\kappa_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. We conclude that for any closed set $C \subset (0, 1)$, there is a sequence C_j of finite subsets of C such that $\mu_{C_j} \rightarrow \mu_C$ in $H_0^1(B)$.

2. Fix now a sequence $\epsilon_j \rightarrow 0$, set $C_j = C_{\epsilon_j}$ and, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, consider a sequence $v_k^{(j)} \in C_0^1(B)$, $s_k^{(j)} \rightarrow \infty$, given by Theorem 4.3 for the finite set C_j , such that $\|v_k^{(j)}\|_\infty \leq 1/k$ and $\|J'(\delta_{s_k^{(j)}}(\mu_{C_j} + v_k^{(j)}))\| \leq 1/k$. Then, for the diagonal sequence with $k = j$ we have

$$J'(\delta_{s_j}(\mu_C + \mu_{C_j} - \mu_C + v_j^{(j)})) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\psi_j = \delta_{s_j}(\mu_{C_j} - \mu_C + v_j^{(j)})$. Then

$$\|\nabla \psi_j\|_2 = \|\nabla(\mu_{C_j} - \mu_C + v_j^{(j)})\|_2 \leq \|\nabla(\mu_{C_j} - \mu_C)\|_2 + 1/j \rightarrow 0.$$

Since, as we obtained above by diagonalization, $J'(\delta_{s_j} \mu_C + \psi_j) \rightarrow 0$ and, by (g2), $\int_\Omega F(\delta_{s_j} \mu_C + \psi_j) \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$J(\delta_{s_j} \mu_C + \psi_j) = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla(\delta_{s_j} \mu_C + \psi_j)\|_2^2 + o(1) = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla(\mu_C)\|_2^2 + o(1).$$

□

While Theorem 4.3 is a relatively natural generalization of Theorem A in [4], which constructs a critical sequence with the Moser function as a

blowup profile, where the set C is a singleton, and Theorem 5.1 follows from Theorem 4.3 by an approximation argument, this gives no insight if there is also a critical sequence that has a concentration profile μ_C with the set C of positive measure. This requires a different construction of the vanishing correction v_k .

Theorem 5.2. *Let J be the functional (2.4) with the function f of 4π -critical growth satisfying (g0), (g1) and (g2). Let $c \geq \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta = \beta(c) = e^{8(c-1/2)}$, $a \in (0, 1)$. Then there exist sequences $s_k \rightarrow \infty$, $\psi_k \in C_{0;r}^1(B)$, $\|\nabla \psi_k\|_2^2 \rightarrow 0$, such that the sequence $u_k = \delta_{s_k} \mu_{[a^\beta, a]} + \psi_k$ satisfies*

$$J(u_k) \rightarrow c, J'(u_k) \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. 1. Let us construct a sequence v_k satisfying (4.7). An elementary calculation of the right hand side of (4.7) with $C = [a^\beta, a]$ gives

$$\int_0^1 \mu'_C(r) \varphi'(r) r dr = p\varphi(a^\beta) + q\varphi(a) + \int_{a^\beta}^a \frac{\mu_C(r)}{4r^2(\log \frac{1}{r})^2} \varphi(r) r dr, \quad (5.3)$$

with some positive coefficients p, q whose specific values are not important for the construction. We construct first a sequence that satisfies (4.7) with test functions φ supported only on $[a^\beta, a]$. Consider the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3}{2} \log s_k - 4\pi s_k \mu_C(r)^2 + 4\pi s_k (\mu_C(r) + v_k(r))^2 + \log g(s_k^{1/2} \mu_C(r) + s_k^{1/2} v_k(r)) \\ = -\log 4\sqrt{2\pi} - \frac{3}{2} \log \log \frac{1}{r}, \quad r \in (a^\beta, a). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Expanding the square in the third term and dividing the equation by $8\pi s_k \mu_C(r)$, we have, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} v_k(r) + \frac{1}{2\mu_C(r)} v_k(r)^2 + \frac{\log g(s_k^{1/2} \mu_C(r) + s_k^{1/2} v_k(r))}{8\pi s_k \mu_C(r)} \\ = -\frac{3}{16\pi \mu_C(r)} \frac{\log s_k}{s_k} - \frac{\log 4\sqrt{2\pi} + \frac{3}{2} \log \log \frac{1}{r}}{8\pi s_k \mu_C(r)}, \quad r \in (a^\beta, a). \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

For all k large enough, by the implicit function theorem using (g0) and (g1), we have a unique solution $\tilde{v}_k$ that converges to zero uniformly on C , and so does its derivative.

2. Consider solutions v_k^M of the equation (4.12) restricted to $r \in (0, 1) \setminus C$, with the parameter $M \in \mathbb{R}$ to be determined at a later step. Comparing (4.12) and (5.5), we have $\tilde{v}_k(r) \geq v_k^M(r) + \frac{\delta \log s_k}{s_k}$ at $r = a^\beta, a$, with some $\delta > 0$. Let χ be a smooth function on $[0, \infty)$, which equals 1 on $[0, \frac{1}{2})$ and is supported in $[0, 1)$, and let $\chi_0 \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$ be equal 1 in a neighborhood of $[\log \frac{1}{a}, \beta \log \frac{1}{a}]$. We define $v_k(e^{-t})$ as

$$\begin{cases} \chi_0(t)[\chi(s_k^{3/2}(\log \frac{1}{a} - t))\tilde{v}_k(e^{-t}) + (1 - \chi(s_k^{3/2}(\log \frac{1}{a} - t))v_k^{M_1}(e^{-t})], & t \in [0, \log \frac{1}{a}), \\ \tilde{v}_k(e^{-t}), & t \in [\log \frac{1}{a}, \beta \log \frac{1}{a}], \\ \chi_0(t)[\chi(s_k^{3/2}(t - \beta \log \frac{1}{a}))\tilde{v}_k(e^{-t}) + (1 - \chi(s_k^{3/2}(t - \beta \log \frac{1}{a})))v_k^{M_2}(e^{-t})], & t \in [\beta \log \frac{1}{a}, \infty). \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

We leave it to the reader to verify that v_k satisfies (4.7) with the right hand side as in (5.3), once the values of M_1, M_2 are set to match the constants p, q , and, furthermore, (4.2). In order to show that $v_k \rightarrow 0$ in $H_0^1(B)$ we note that in the intervals $[\log \frac{1}{a} - s_k^{-1/2}, \log \frac{1}{a}]$ and $[\beta \log \frac{1}{a}, \beta \log \frac{1}{a} + s_k^{-1/2}]$,

$$|\frac{d}{dt}v_k(e^{-t})|^2 \leq s_k^3|\tilde{v}_k - v_k^M|^2 + o(1) \leq C(\log s_k)^2 + o(1),$$

which suffices to have a vanishing contribution from these intervals to the integral in $\|\nabla v_k\|_2^2$, while on their complement v_k' converges uniformly to zero. We set $\psi_k = \delta_{1/s_k} v_k$ which vanishes in $H_0^1(B)$, since so does v_k and since each δ_{1/s_k} is an isometry.

3. From (g2) it follows immediately that $\int F(u_k) \rightarrow 0$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} J(u_k) &= \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u_k\|_2^2 + o(1) = \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla \delta_{s_k} \mu_C\|_2^2 + o(1) \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla \mu_C\|_2^2 = c. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

An elementary explicit calculation yields $\|\nabla \mu_C\|_2^2 = 1 + \frac{\log \beta}{4}$. $\square$

Remark 5.3. *Both results on existence of critical sequence with a given concentration profile, Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.2, can be easily extended to the case, respectively, of a nodal profile with $|C| = 0$, and of a nodal profile when C is a finite union of closed intervals, with only elementary modifications of the proof. Furthermore, one can extend, in the nodal setting, the gluing construction of Theorem 5.2 by the approximation reasoning of Theorem 5.1 to obtain existence of a critical sequence of the form $u_k =$*

$\delta_{1/s_k} \mu_{C_+, C_-} + \psi_k$ with $\|\nabla \psi_k\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ at the level $J = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \mu_{C_+, C_-}\|_2^2$, for every Moser-Carleson-Chang tower. We prefer, however, to defer such proof until we know of a new application, interesting enough to provide due motivation.

6 Structure of Palais-Smale sequences

We conclude the paper with a restriction of Theorem 3.1 to critical sequences. Note that the case $c = \frac{m}{2}$ below reduces verification of the Adimurthi-Struwe conjecture to the question if Moser functions are the only possible concentration profiles - which they are not.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded domain. Let J be the functional (2.4) with f of critical growth satisfying (g0), (g1) and (g2). Let $u_k \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ be a bounded sequence such that $J'(u_k) \rightarrow 0$ and $J(u_k) \rightarrow c$. Then the sequence u_k has a renumbered subsequence of the following form:*

There exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \leq 2c$, sequences $s_k^{(1)}, \dots, s_k^{(m)}$ of positive numbers, convergent to zero for every $j = 1, \dots, m$, sequences $z_k^{(1)}, \dots, z_k^{(m)} \in \bar{\Omega}$, $z_k^{(j)} \rightarrow z_j$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, with $s_k^{(1)} = 1$, $z_k^{(1)} = 0$, and closed sets $C_\pm^{(1)}, \dots, C_\pm^{(m)} \in (0, 1)$, such that

$$z_p \neq z_q \text{ or } \left| \log \frac{1}{s_k^{(p)}} - \log \frac{1}{s_k^{(q)}} \right| \text{ whenever } p \neq q, \quad (6.1)$$

$$u_k - \sum_{j=1}^m \delta_{s_k^{(j)}} \mu_{C_+^{(j)}, C_-^{(j)}}(|\cdot - z_k^{(j)}|) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \exp L^2, \quad (6.2)$$

and

$$\|\nabla u_k\|_2^2 \rightarrow \sum_j \|\nabla \mu_{C_+^{(j)}, C_-^{(j)}}\|_2^2.$$

If Z_j is the number of zeroes of $w^{(j)} = \mu_{C_+^{(j)}, C_-^{(j)}}$, then $\sum_{j=1}^m Z_j < 2c - 2m$. In particular, if $c \leq m$, all functions $w^{(j)}$ are sign definite. Furthermore, if $\frac{m}{2} = c$, then for every $j = 1, \dots, m$, $C^{(j)} = \{t_j\}$ for some $t_j \in (0, 1)$, and $\mu_{C^{(j)}}$ is a Moser function μ_{t_j} and the convergence in (6.2) is in H^1 -norm.

Proof. The statement follows immediately from application of Theorem 3.2 to Theorem 3.1 and properties of the profiles μ_{C_+, C_-} from Proposition 2.2. Note that from (g2) it follows that $\int F(u_k) \rightarrow 0$, so $c \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \|\nabla \mu_{C_+^{(j)}, C_-^{(j)}}\|_2^2$.

Then relation $\sum_{j=1}^m Z_j < 2c - 2m$ is immediate from Proposition 2.2. If $c = m/2$ then, necessarily, each of the norms in the right hand side equals 1, each $\mu_{C_+^{(j)}, C_-^{(j)}}$ is a Moser function, the inequality becomes an equality, and the resulting convergence of H^1 -norms in (6.2), $\|\nabla u_k\|_2^2 \rightarrow \sum \|\nabla \mu_{C_+^{(j)}, C_-^{(j)}}\|_2^2$, together with convergence in $\exp L^2$ implies H^1 -convergence. $\square$

References

- [1] Adimurthi, Existence of positive solutions of the semilinear Dirichlet problem with critical growth for the n -Laplacian, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **17** (1990), 393–413.
- [2] Adimurthi, O. Druet, Blow up analysis in dimension 2 and a sharp form of Trudinger-Moser inequality, Comm. Part. Diff. Equ. **29** (2004), 293–322.
- [3] Adimurthi, J. M. do Ó, K. Tintarev, Cocompactness and minimizers for inequalities of Hardy-Sobolev type involving N -Laplacian, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **17** (2010) 467–477.
- [4] Adimurthi, S. Prashanth, Failure of Palais-Smale condition and blow-up analysis for the critical exponent problem in $\mathbb{R}^2$, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci) **107** (1997), 283–217.
- [5] Adimurthi, M. Struwe, Global compactness properties of semilinear elliptic equations with critical exponential growth, J. Funct. Anal. **175** (2000), 125–167
- [6] Adimurthi, K. Tintarev, Weak continuity properties of Trudinger-Moser functional, Annali Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, to appear
- [7] H. Bahouri, M. Majdoub, N. Masmoudi, Lack of compactness in the 2D critical Sobolev imbedding, the general case, Arxiv.org 1112:2998
- [8] L. Carleson, A. S.-Y. Chang, On the existence of an extremal function for an inequality of J. Moser, Bull. Sci. Math. (2) **110** (1986), 113–127.
- [9] O. Druet, Multibump analysis in dimension 2 - quantification of blow up levels, Duke Math. J. **132** (2006), 217–269.

- [10] P. Gérard, Description du défaut de compacité de l'injection de Sobolev, ESAIM: Control, Optimzation and Calculus of Variations, **3** (1998), 213–233.
- [11] S. Jaffard, Analysis of the lack of compactness in the critical Sobolev embeddings, J. Funct. Analysis. **161** (1999), 384–396.
- [12] P.-L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case II, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **1** (1985), 45–121.
- [13] J. Moser, A sharp form of an inequality by N.Trudinger, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **20** (1971) 1077–1092.
- [14] J. Peetre, Espaces d'interpolation et thórème de Soboleff, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **16** (1966), 279–317.
- [15] S. I. Pohozaev, The Sobolev imbedding in the case $pl = n$, Proc. Tech. Sci. Conf. on Adv. Sci. Research 1964-1965, Mathematics Section, 158–170, Moskov. Energet. Inst., Moscow 1965.
- [16] M. Struwe, Critical points of imbeddings of $H_0^{1,N}$ into Orlicz spaces, Annal. Inst. Henri Poincaré Sec C **5** (1988), 425–464.
- [17] S. Solimini, A note on compactness-type properties with respect to Lorentz norms of bounded subsets of a Sobolev space, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **12** (1995), 319–337.
- [18] I. Schindler, K. Tintarev, An abstract version of the concentration compactness principle. Rev. Mat. Complut. **15** (2002), 417–436
- [19] I. Schindler, K. Tintarev, Semilinear subelliptic problems without compactness on Lie groups, NoDEA. Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications, **11** (2004) 299–309.
- [20] G. Talenti, Best constant in Sobolev inequality, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **110** (1976), 353–372.
- [21] K. Tintarev, K.-H. Fieseler, Concentration compactness: functional-analytic grounds and applications, Imperial College Press, 2007
- [22] N. S. Trudinger, On imbeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications, J. Math. Mech. **17** (1967), 473–483.

- [23] V. I. Yudovich, Some estimates connected with integral operators and with solutions of elliptic equations, *Sov. Math., Dokl.* **2** (1961), 746-749.